

# **Science, Society and Sustainability**

Education and Empowerment for an  
Uncertain World

**Edited by Donald Gray, Laura  
Colucci-Gray and Elena Camino**

 **Routledge**  
Taylor & Francis Group  
New York London

First published 2009  
by Routledge  
270 Madison Ave, New York, NY 10016

Simultaneously published in the UK  
by Routledge  
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business*

© 2009 Taylor & Francis

Typeset in Sabon by IBT Global.  
Printed and bound in the United States of America on acid-free paper by IBT Global.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

**Trademark Notice:** Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

*Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data*

A catalog record has been requested for this book.

ISBN10: 0-415-99595-7 (hbk)  
ISBN10: 0-203-87512-5 (ebk)

ISBN13: 978-0-415-99595-5 (hbk)  
ISBN13: 978-0-203-87512-4 (ebk)

## REVEALING CHILDREN'S BIOPHILIA

*Giuseppe Barbiero*

While the relationship with nature is recognized as one of the most important components of human beings' physical, psychological and moral development (Kellert 1997; Camino 2005), our scientific knowledge about nature's impact on the different phases of development of the child, adolescent and adult is rather limited.

There is a great deal of anecdotal knowledge confirming the importance of this relationship; however, we neither have a systematic analysis nor a coherent theoretical framework for understanding the role of nature in child's development (Kahn and Kellert 2002). At the end of the last century, Edward O. Wilson put forward the hypothesis of the existence of a human instinct that he called biophilia (Wilson 1984). The biophilia hypothesis offers an evolutionary explanation for the close man–nature relationship (Kellert and Wilson 1993), and over time, a number of empirical proofs have been gathered to support it, so that currently, the biophilia hypothesis “can provide a unifying framework across numerous disciplines to investigate the human relationship with nature” (Kahn 1999), and it can be reasonably considered a plausible evolutionary explanation for a series of innate human behaviors of relationship with the natural world. However, if biophilia is an instinct—or, more precisely, “a complex set of learning rules” phylogenetically adaptive (Wilson 1993)—it can remain silent for the entire life of an individual unless it is appropriately stimulated. Human functions that regulate our relationship with the natural world can “persist from generation to generation, atrophied and fitfully manifested in artificial new environments into which technology has catapulted humanity” (Wilson 1993).

Thus, how can biophilia be expressed in modern society? Howard Gardner reckons that naturalist intelligence, which, in his theory of multiple intelligences is the eighth form of human intelligence, is displayed in those people who take care of, and subtly interact with, the living creatures, such as deep ecologists and naturalists, but:

even apparently remote capacities—such as recognizing automobiles from the sound of the engines, or detecting novel patterns in a scientific laboratory, or discerning artistic styles—may exploit mechanisms that originally evolved because of their efficacy in distinguishing between, say, toxic and nontoxic ivies, snakes or berries. Thus, it is possible that the pattern-recognizing talents of artists, poet, social scientists, and natural scientists are all built on the fundamental perceptual skills of naturalist intelligence (Gardner 1999, 50).

Hence, in the industrial world, biophilia appears to take the resemblance of an exaptation, a characteristic that evolved for a particular purpose

but that reveals itself useful for a different one. As educators, we feel we have the duty to recover biophilia as an instinct; that is, “the innate tendency to focus upon life and lifelike forms, and in some instances to affiliate with them emotionally” (Wilson 2002, 134) in its original form of evolutionary adaptation: the development of a deep and healthy relationship with nature. Undoubtedly, we have the need to develop an educational project that can stimulate biophilia, but more in general we need a framework for environmental education that starts from biophilia to nurture in the child, the adolescent and the adult the awareness that a profound relationship with nature is necessary for a harmonious development of one’s own personality. If this is the conceptual framework of our research, we can begin to ask ourselves more specific questions, such as: What meaning and what value can we give to the different experiences—either direct, indirect or symbolic ones—with nature that children have during their childhood? Is it true that during childhood children develop profound connections with the natural world that are then suppressed during adolescence? How can educators help adolescents to recover such connections with nature without undermining their need for social interaction? How can adults be helped to recover their own feeling of belonging to the natural world?

The formulation of such questions is not conducted by chance: it follows the order of ontological development of the child toward adult life and the related continuous adjustment of the needs and necessities in the different life phases from childhood to adulthood. Such differentiation should find correspondence in the educational experiences at the different levels. It is not difficult to notice that current school education keeps prioritizing cognitive aspects at the expense of more profound levels of connection with the natural world.

Thus it appears important to develop a research area that could be defined *affective ecology* and could be an area of ecology that deals with the origin, growth and maturation of genetically determined and evolutionary adaptive, affective relationships between human beings and other living organisms. Affective ecology is an integral part of the process of affective appraisal of environments, which is the conferral of affective qualities to the environment that is strictly connected to environmental preference. Affective appraisal is also one of the components of environmental schemata (along with the cognitive, behavioral, affective and evaluative components) that are the knowledge structures that organize environmental information deriving from perception and that guide behavior (Berto 2002), hence contributing to the process of knowledge of the environment. Profound parts of this affective process of knowledge of the environment are what Louise Chawla (2002) called “magic spots of time,” when children experience an insightful connection of tenderness and love that they have with living creatures and they become aware of the need for the care and attention that they require. However, the possibilities of realizing magic spots

of time when immersed in nature are few and far for school-age urban children. In addition, such children are prevented from enjoying the process of regeneration of direct attention created by the fascination with nature, which occurs by simply passing time immersed in it (Kaplan 1995). Then, it so happens that the direct attention of children who live in urban areas is quickly exhausted, as it is continuously attracted by enormous quantities of sensory, emotional and cognitive stimuli. These are children who are deprived of their need for magical moments and the restoration that can be found in nature. In such situations of limited contact with nature, Stephen Kaplan proposes the practice of meditation as a means to reinforce the restorative power of direct attention. Kaplan suggests that people

with little meditation training attempting to meditate in an environment arranged to have only modest restorative properties can experience more recovery of directed attention capacity than either the same person in the same environment who is not attempting to meditate or the same person trying to meditate in an environment that offers fewer restorative properties (Kaplan 2001, 500).

We wanted to put into practice Kaplan's hypothesis, using the practice of mindfulness as a tool for the recovery of direct attention, as well as the recovery of the dimension of direct contact with nature, starting with awareness of one's own senses (Kabat-Zinn 2005). In its essential form, the practice of mindfulness is a practice of active silence, which offers the opportunity to experience moments of suspension from the multiple aural and visual stimuli and to enter into a relationship with one's own internal space. On such premises, we developed an experimental educational activity of *active silence training* (AST) addressed to primary children, with the aim of stimulating biophilia through the recovery of attention (Barbiero et al. 2007). One hundred twenty children from a primary school in the town of Aosta, Italy, took part in a series of experimental activities that were part of a study aimed at evaluating the efficacy of AST in the process of direct attention recovery. AST makes use of silent observation as a tool for knowledge of oneself and of one's own body, and play as a moment of fascination involving involuntary attention (James 1892), allowing voluntary attention to rest and regenerate (Kaplan 1995). The experimental protocol set out to measure some baseline physiological parameters and a series of tests of direct attention before, during and after AST. The results appear to support Kaplan's hypothesis: as compared to the control group, children in the experimental group were significantly quicker in the direct attention tests (Barbiero 2007). If the practice of active silence was proved to be able to recover children's attention capacity, it could constitute the basis of an educational program aimed at revealing children's biophilia and a new and original way to develop their naturalist intelligence (Gardner 1999).

## NOTES

1. Ministerial decree of May 26, 1998. Published in the *Gazzetta Ufficiale*, July 3, 1998, n.153–Annex B.
2. This is translated from the Italian *laboratorio*, which in contexts outside disciplinary science might be better translated as “workshop.” The word *laboratory* has been left throughout.

## REFERENCES

- AA.VV. 1998. *Dall'escursionismo all'educazione ambientale. Un'esperienza sul campo. Atti del 17° Corso-Seminario Regionale per Operatori TAM*, Aosta, Italy: Club Alpino Italiano e Regione Valle d'Aosta.
- Alferi, F., M. Arcà, and P. Guidoni. 1995. *Il senso di fare scienze*. Torino, Italy: Bollati Boringhieri.
- Ammaniti, M., and D. Stern. 1991. *Rappresentazioni e narrazioni*. Roma: Laterza.
- Arcà, M. 2005. *Il corpo umano*. Roma: Carocci Faber.
- Barbiero G., R. Berto, D. Freire, M. Ferrado, and E. Camino. (2007) Svelare la biofilia nei bambini attraverso l'active silence training: un approccio sperimentale. *Culture della Sostenibilità 2*: 99–109.
- Battistini, G., A. Bezzi, B. Massa, and G.M. Pedemonte. 1982. Il laboratorio sul terreno nell'insegnamento della geologia: premesse metodologiche ed attuazione didattica, *Quaderni CIDI 6*(12): 38–71.
- Battistini, G., A. Bezzi, B. Massa, and G.M. Pedemonte. 1983. Le Scienze della Terra nella Scuola Secondaria Superiore. Parte seconda: valenze educative ed implicazioni didattiche. *Didattica delle Scienze 104*: 39–43.
- Berto, R. 2002. Codifica e caratteristiche del paesaggio che ne influenzano il ricordo. In *La narrazione del paesaggio*, ed. C. Gallo Barbisio, L. Lettini, and D. Maffei, 186–192. Torino, Italy: Tirrenia Stampatori.
- . 2005. Exposure to restorative environments helps restore attentional capacity. *Journal of Environmental Psychology 25*: 249–59.
- Bertolino, F., A. Perazzone, and M. Bertone. 2007. L'offerta formativa in educazione ambientale nelle università italiane. Il valore di un luogo comune di sconfinamento. In *Formazione e sostenibilità*, ed. W. Fornasa and M. Salomone, 103–133. Milano: Franco Angeli.
- Bertolino, F., M. Messina, A. Perazzone, and M. Salomone. 2007a. L'educazione ambientale nelle università italiane: attori, modelli, contenuti, ricerche. *Culture della sostenibilità 1*: 79–116.
- . 2007b. L'educazione ambientale nelle università italiane: attori, modelli, contenuti, ricerche. Parte II—Reti relazionali e mappe concettuali dell'educazione ambientale nell'università italiana. *Culture della sostenibilità 2*: 61–86.
- Bogina, M.A., and B.R. Roberts. 2005. The use of Haiku and portfolio entry to teach the change of seasons. *Journal of Geoscience Education 53* (5): 559–62.
- Borgarello, G., and E. Trusel. 1991. *Educazione Ambientale: la proposta di Pracatinat*, *Quaderno n. 1*. Torino, Italy: Regione Piemonte.
- Borgarello, G., A. Chiesa, and C. Galetto. 1997. *Educazione e società sostenibile. Itinerari didattici per la scuola media superiore. Pracatinat*, *Quaderno n. 4*. Torino, Italy: Regione Piemonte.
- Cadzow, J. 2004. The bubble-wrap generation, *Sydney Morning Herald, Good Weekend*, January 17, 18–22.
- Camino, E., and G. Barbiero. 2005. Connessioni, reti da svelare, trame da tessere per un cammino verso la sostenibilità. In *Per una ecologia dell'educazione*

- ambientale* ed. E. Falchetti and S. Caravita, 101–112. Torino, Italy: Edizioni Scholé Futuro.
- Carbaugh, D. 1996. *Situating selves. The communication of social identities in american scenes*. Albany: SUNY Press.
- Carvalho, G.S., R. Silva, N. Lima, E. Coquet, and P. Clément. 2004. Portuguese primary school children's conceptions about digestion: identification of learning obstacles. *International Journal of Science Education* 26 (9): 1111–30.
- Chawla, L. 2002. Spots of Time. Manifold Ways of Being in Nature. In *Children and Nature* eds. P.H. Kahn and S.R. Kellert, 199–225. MIT Press. *Growing up in an urbanizing world*. London: Earthscan Publications Ltd.
- Cini, M. 1994. *Il paradiso perduto. Dall'universo delle leggi naturali al mondo dei processi evolutivi*. Milano: Feltrinelli.
- Clément, P. 2001. Epistemological, didactical and psychological obstacles: the example of digestion/excretion. In *Proceeding of ESERA Conference: Science Education in the Knowledge Based Society*, Vol. 1, ed.D. Psilos et al., 347–9. Thessaloniki, Greece: Aristotle Univ.
- Colombies, J.L. 1997. Metodologie e politiche per l'educazione ambientale in Europa. *École* 52: 38–40.
- Cornell, J.B. 1998. *Sharing nature with children*. Nevada City, CA: Dawn Publications.
- Ferrarotti W. 2005. Rapporto diretto con l'ambiente: condizione di significatività e validità della conoscenza. In *Atti Scuola Ambiente & Parchi*, ed. Gruppo di Ricerca in Didattica delle Scienze Naturali. Torino, Italy: Lit. Geda.
- Ferrero, E., and D. Gimigliano. 2007. *Le concezioni spontanee nelle Scienze della Terra*. Asti, Italy: Regione Piemonte e Comune di Refrancore.
- Ferrero, E., and M. Tonon. 2002. The field trip: an environmental workshop in an Earth Science Introductory Course for student teachers. *26th ATEE Annual Conference, Stockholm Institute of Education, RDC 2, The Training of Science Teachers*. Available online at [www.lhe.se/atee/proceedings/Ferrero-Tonon\\_RDC\\_2.doc](http://www.lhe.se/atee/proceedings/Ferrero-Tonon_RDC_2.doc)
- Ferrero, E., A. Provera, and M. Tonon. 2004. *Le Scienze della Terra: la scoperta dell'ambiente fisico*. Torino, Italy: Cortina Ed.
- Forni, E. 2002. *La città di Batman. Bambini, conflitti, sicurezza urbana*. Torino, Italy: Bollati Boringhieri.
- Gallo Barbisio, C. 2001. *Psicologia del paesaggio: l'arte della cura e il paesaggio*. Torino, Italy: Tirrenia Stampatori.
- Gallo Barbisio, C. 2002. *La narrazione del paesaggio*. Torino, Italy: Tirrenia Stampatori.
- Galtung, J. 1969. Violence, peace and peace research. *Journal of Peace Research* 6 (3): 167–91.
- García de la Torre, E. 1994. Metodología y secuenciación de las actividades didácticas de geología de campo. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias de la Tierra* 2: 340–53.
- Gardner, H. 1999. *Intelligence reframed*. New York: Basic Books.
- Giordan, A., and G. De Vecchi. 1990. *L'enseignement scientifique: comment faire pour que «ça marche»*. Nice, France: Z'édicions.
- Jaén, M. 2000. Como podemos utilizar en Geología el planteamiento y resolución de problemas? *Enseñanza de las Ciencias de la Tierra* 8 (1): 69–74.
- James, W. 1892. *Psychology: The briefer course*. New York: Holt.
- Kabat-Zinn, J. 2005. *Coming to our senses*. New York: Hyperion.
- Kahn, P.H. 1999. *The human relationship with nature*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kaplan, S. 1995. The restorative effects of nature: Toward an integrative framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* 15: 169–82.
- . 2001. Meditation, restoration and the management of mental fatigue. *Environment and Behaviour* 33 (4): 480–506.

- Kellert, S.R. 1997. *Kinship to mastery*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Kellert, S.R. and E.O. Wilson, eds. *The biophilia hypothesis*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Longo, C. 1998. *Didattica della biologia*. Scandicci, Italy: La Nuova Italia.
- Lorenzo, R. 2000. *In città ci abito anch'io*. Perugia, Italy: Edizioni Guerra.
- Louv, R. 2005. *Last child in the woods: Saving our children from nature-deficit disorder*. New York: Algonquin Books.
- Luzzatto, G. 2005. Formazione iniziale degli insegnanti. In *Voci della scuola 2006*, ed. G. Cerini and M. Spinosi. Napoli, Italy: Tecnodid Editrice.
- Malone, K. 2007. The bubble-wrap generation: Children growing up in walled gardens. *Environmental Education Research* 13 (4): 513–27.
- Massa, B., and G.M. Pedemonte. 1998. Une recherche sur les obstacles cognitifs en géologie: quelles implications sur la formation des enseignants? In *Formation à la médiation et à l'enseignement—enjeux, pratiques, acteurs*, ed. A. Giordan, J.L. Martinand, and D. Raichvarg, 403–408. Actes JIES XX.
- Maturana, H., and F. Varela. 1984. *El árbol del conocimiento: las bases biológicas del enten-dimiento humano*. Santiago, Chile: OEA.
- Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica Tecnologica. 1998. *Decreto 26 maggio: Criteri generali per la disciplina da parte delle università degli ordinamenti dei corsi di laurea in Scienze della formazione primaria e delle Scuole di specializzazione all'insegnamento secondario*. In G.U. n. 153 del 3 luglio 1998.
- Moore, R.C. 1986. *Childhood's domain. Play and place in child development*. London: Crom Helm.
- Morcillo, J.G., M. Rodrigo, J.d.D. Centeno, and M. Compiani. 1998. Caracterización de las prácticas de campo: justificación y primeros resultados de una encuesta al profesorado. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias de la Tierra* 6: 242–50.
- Mortari, L. 2001. *Per una pedagogia ecologica. Prospettive teoriche e ricerche empiriche sull'educazione ambientale*. Milano: Franco Angeli.
- Novak, J. 1998. *Learning, creating, and using knowledge: Concept maps as facilitative tools in schools and corporations*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Perazzone, A. 2004. Verticale sì ma come la tela del ragno. *Atti del XIII Convegno Nazionale ANISN—Una visione del mondo: Cultura, Natura, Comunicazione nell'insegnamento delle Scienze Naturali*, Bollettino dell'ANISN 13 (num. spec): 125–128.
- Perazzone, A., F. Bertolino, S. Ghilardi, and E. Camino. 2002. University training for future primary school teachers; environmental education is introduced, at last!, In *26th ATEE Annual Conference, Stockholm Institute of Education, RDC 17*. Available online at <http://staff.um.edu.mt/ppac1/RDC17/stockholm2.pdf>
- Prensky, M. 2006. *Don't bother me mom—I'm learning!* St. Paul, MN: Paragon House Publishers.
- Psarros, N., and H Stavridou. 2001. The adventure of food inside the human body: Primary students' conceptions about the structure and the function of the Human digestive system. In *Proceeding of ESERA conference: Science education in the knowledge based society*, Vol. 2, ed. D. Psilos et al., 745–7. Thessaloniki, Greece: Aristotle Univ. .
- Reiss, M. J., S.D. Tunnicliffe, A. Moller Anderson, A. Bartoszeck, G.S. Carvalho, S. Chen, R. Jarman, et al. 2002. An international study of young peoples' drawings of what is inside themselves. *Journal of Biological Education* 36 (2): 58–64.
- Sterling, S. 2001. *Sustainable education—Re-visioning learning and change*. Schumacher Briefing no. 6. Dartington: Schumacher Society/Green Books.



- Thomashow, M. 1996. *Ecological identity. Becoming a reflective environmentalist*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Tonon, M. 2004. Le escursioni didattiche: esperienze qualificate e integranti. In *Atti del XIII Convegno Nazionale ANISN—Una visione del mondo: Cultura, Natura, Comunicazione nell'insegnamento delle Scienze Naturali*, Bollettino ANISN 13 (num. spec): 129–35.
- Tonon, M., A. Perazzone, and A. Provera. 2006. Educare attraverso la valorizzazione dell'ambiente naturale: un'esperienza formativa nel contesto alpino del cuneese. In *Cultura locale e formazione*, ed. A. Rossebastiano, 155–80. Torino, Italy: Il Segnalibro.
- Tonon, M., E. Ferrero, and A. Provera. 2005. Esperienze di fruizione didattica e di valorizzazione di alcuni affioramenti fossiliferi del Monferrato. *Giornate di Paleontologia 2003—Alessandria, 22–25 maggio, Rendiconti della Società Paleontologica Italiana* 2, ed. D. Violanti, 229–41.
- Tonucci, F. 2002. *La città dei bambini*. Bari: Laterza.
- Vasio, C. 1999. Se fossi il re di un'isola deserta—Haiku. Roma: Empiria Ed.
- Volpi, R. 2004. *Liberiamo i bambini*. Roma: Donzelli.
- Wilson, E.O. 1984. *Biophilia*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Univ. Press.
- . 1993. Biophilia and the conservation ethic. In *The biophilia hypothesis*, ed. S.R. Kellert and E.O. Wilson. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- . 2002. *The future of life*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.